

Electric Paper

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Möller (PERSÖNLICH)

# Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Möller,

Sie erhalten hier die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung der Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation zur Veranstaltung High-Frequency-Engineering

Fragebogen Typ IngEng2:

Der zuerst angegebene Globalindikator setzt sich aus folgenden Skalen des Fragebogens zusammen:

- Course in general
- Lecture
- Tutorials
- Organization

Als nächstes werden die einzelnen Mittelwerte der oben genannten Skalen aufgeführt.


Im zweiten Teil des Auswertungsberichts werden die Mittelwerte aller einzelnen Fragen aufgelistet.

Bei Rückfragen stehe ich Ihnen gerne zur Verfügung.


# Prof. Möller

High-Frequency-Engineering () Erfasste Fragebögen = 9






#### Lecture



### Tutorials




<sup>4.7)</sup> He/She is sufficienty responsive to questions.




## Organization


5.1) The criteria for obtaining a course certificate are transparent




<sup>5.2)</sup> The rooms are adequate.



<sup>5.3)</sup> Information on the course is available online.



 $^{5.4)}\,\,$  The organization of lecture and tutorials is good.



## Overall score

Your overall score for the course is:

n=9 mw=1.7
s=0.7

n=8


mw=1.5 s=0.5

# **Profillinie**

Teilbereich: Mechatronik
Name der/des Lehrenden: Prof. Möller

Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: High-Frequency-Engineering

(Name der Umfrage)



#### Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

### 1.2) The date of the lecture overlapped with:

FME Tutorial, and 195's Tutorial Overlapped with SCO

#### 2.3) The following basics were missing:

Explanation of symbols and theory.

# 2.6) In total, my workload for the course (lecture, tutorial, preparation, wrap-up) was about ... h/week

8 h/week

5h/week

General evaluation

### 6.1) What did you like about the course? What should not be changed?

nice atmosphere during classes bringing example circuits etc. to tecture

The interest, enthusiasm and knowledge of the professor towards the class were aspects that made the lecture appealing. The showcase of the equipement is also a good detail to see what we are dealing Lecturer is very focused and tried his best to make the things understandable. His clear viewaw touwledge inspired me to have more course with him.

Mone explantion on specific theory

The professor is really well prepared and open for questions. The material (copies) given are well explained during lecture.

I liked the way the professor explain, goal way of speaking, good use of example, etc. To take time to explain some points, details was good also As a conclusion, I can pay that the professor shared the knowledge and his passion and medivation, that is whis the techne was very extreating. The examples that were given in Lecture notes were quite helpfull to understand any particular topic.

### 6.2) What did you not like about the course? What should be changed?

I would have prefixed to have seperate tutorials workload for this course is more than 4CP worth (if you do it properly (preparation / wap-up etc.)

The lack of totorials and assignments leven if they had been optional) could be a problem during the exam. There should be more excercises to reinforce or to work on the theory learned during the lectors.

Tutorials' time should be in different time schulbe it overlap with other course.

Expression used without giving proper explantion

Even though I come from an Electronics barnelor, my knowledge was still not the same as ofher students. I had to put extra effort to understand the topics at the beginning of the sementer. As studying in German University is not really an easy task. I Lack in so many topics (the basics) so for internalizable there should be some basic knowledge should be added so we can cope up with other