

Electric Paper Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Möller (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Möller,

Sie erhalten hier die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung der Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation zur Veranstaltung High-Frequency-Engineering

Fragebogen Typ IngEng2:

Der zuerst angegebene Globalindikator setzt sich aus folgenden Skalen des Fragebogens zusammen:


- Course in general
- Lecture
- Tutorials
- Organization


Als nächstes werden die einzelnen Mittelwerte der oben genannten Skalen aufgeführt.


Im zweiten Teil des Auswertungsberichts werden die Mittelwerte aller einzelnen Fragen aufgelistet.

Bei Rückfragen stehe ich Ihnen gerne zur Verfügung.

Prof. Mo High-Frequency-Er Erfasste Fragebo		<mark>-}</mark>				
Globalwerte						
		mw=1.2				
Course in general	+	s=0.4				
Lecture	+ H	mw=1 s=0.1				
Tutorials	+	mw=1.2 s=0.3				
Organization	+	mw=1.2 s=0.4				
Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen						
Legende Relative Häufigkeiten der A	ntworten StdAbw. Mittelwert Median					
Legende	er Pol	nzahl <mark>=Mittelwert</mark> tandardabweichung Enthaltung				
	Skala Histogramm	Indiana				
General ^{1.1)} I'm studying	·····	n=10				
Systems En	gineering 100%					
Course in general						
^{2.1)} The course follows a clear central theme (golden lagree comp thread).	bletely 18% 0% 0% 1 2 3 4	n=11 mw=1.2 s=0.4				
^{2.2)} The basics necessary for the course have been laid during the	e previous studies.	n=10				
	yes 100%					
^{2.4)} The course content and the speed of teaching are adequate.		n=12				
	yes 91.7%					
	too high 8.3%					
^{2.5)} The course workload is adequate.		n=11				
	yes 72.7%					
	too high 27.3%					







Overall score

^{7.1)} Your overall score for the course is:



Profillinie

Teilbereich:

T

Mechatronik Prof. Möller

Name der/des Lehrenden: Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: High-Frequency-Engineering (Name der Umfrage)

2.1)	The course follows a clear central theme (golden thread).	Larrag			incares	
,		l agree completely	7	co	isagree mpletely	mw=1.2
3.1)	The lecture is structured well.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1
3.2)	Lecture notes are helpfull.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1
3.3)	The content is illustrative (examples)	l agree completely	7		isagree mpletely	mw=1.1
3.4)	He/She imports knowledge well.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1
3.5)	He/She is well prepared.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1
3.6)	He/She inspires me for the topic.	l agree completely		l d co	isagree mpletely	mw=1.2
4.1)	The exercises are understandable clearly.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1.3
4.2)	The degree of difficulty of the exercises is adequate.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1.3
4.3)	Lecture and tutorials harmonize.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1
4.4)	Questions can be resolved without difficulty	l agree completely	7	l d co	isagree mpletely	mw=1.3
4.5)	He/She imports knowledge well.	l agree completely	Í l		isagree mpletely	mw=1.1
4.6)	He/She is well prepared.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1
4.7)	He/She is sufficienty responsive to questions.	l agree completely	7		isagree mpletely	mw=1.1
5.1)	The criteria for obtaining a course certificate are transparent	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1
5.2)	The rooms are adequate.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1.2
5.3)	Information on the course is available online.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1.6
5.4)	The organization of lecture and tutorials is good.	l agree completely			isagree mpletely	mw=1.1

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

1.2) The date of the lecture overlapped with:

None_

X Okay for me.

18th January

2.3) The following basics were missing:

Network measurement methods, odd mode, even mode equivalents

2.6) In total, my workload for the course (lecture, tutorial, preparation, wrap-up) was about ... h/week.

3 ĥ	 	
6		
3 h	 	
4 hour	 	
σ	 	

General evaluation

6.1) What did you like about the course? What should not be changed?

I like how to theach the professor and the orgument Cartinuity of the lecture. A dequate knowledge

I either the way of explaining the profesoriand the lecture indes are very your.

I like more the opproved what real people in elistronic components

```
The lectures have lot's of examples.
We have very good exercises and the totacial is very halpful.
```

I like the study material of the course. Also, the way of understanding the topics to us.

The way professor teaches, the content in the lecture inspires me

6.2) What did you not like about the course? What should be changed?

I think, it is not good the lecture without a program tool for to learn hetter

```
self-study is little difficult as information on the slides
is not available online.
```

The workload is too much.

The workboard is too much.

It would be great "IF there are some practical applications / content. So that we would be able to know the present research bappening.