Electric Paper

Sehr geehrter Herr
Prof.   Möller (PERSÖNLICH)

Auswertungsbericht Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation an die Lehrenden

Sehr geehrter Herr Prof. Möller,

Sie erhalten hier die Ergebnisse der automatisierten Auswertung der
Lehrveranstaltungsevaluation zur Veranstaltung High-Frequency-Engineering

Fragebogen Typ IngEng2:

Der zuerst angegebene Globalindikator setzt sich aus folgenden Skalen des Fragebogens
zusammen:

- Course in general
- Lecture
- Tutorials
- Organization

Als nächstes werden die einzelnen Mittelwerte der oben genannten Skalen aufgeführt.

Im zweiten Teil des Auswertungsberichts werden die Mittelwerte aller einzelnen Fragen
aufgelistet.

Bei Rückfragen stehe ich Ihnen gerne zur Verfügung.

Prof. Möller
High-Frequency-Engineering ()
Erfasste Fragebögen = 6

Globalwerte

|		
Course in general		mw=1 s=0
Lecture		mw=1.1 s=0.1
Tutorials		mw=1.5 s=0.5
Organization		mw=1.4 s=0.4

Auswertungsteil der geschlossenen Fragen

Legende

Fragetext

Relative Häufigkeiten der Antworten — Std.-Abw. — Mittelwert — Median

Linker Pol — Rechter Pol

Skala — Histogramm

n=Anzahl
mw=Mittelwert
s=Standardabweichung
E.=Enthaltung

General

1.1) I'm studying
n=4

Systems Engineering	75%
CuK/Embedded Systems	25%

Course in general

2.1) The course follows a clear central theme (golden thread).

100% 0% 0% 0%

I agree completely — I disagree completely

n=5
mw=1
s=0

2.2) The basics necessary for the course have been laid during the previous studies.
n=5

yes 100%

2.4) The course content and the speed of teaching are adequate.
n=5

yes 100%

2.5) The course workload is adequate.
n=5

yes 100%

Lecture

3.1) The lecture is structured well.

I agree completely | 100% 0% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

3.2) Lecture notes are helpfull.

I agree completely | 80% 20% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1.2
s=0.4

3.3) The content is illustrative (examples)

I agree completely | 100% 0% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

3.4) He/She imports knowledge well.

I agree completely | 100% 0% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

3.5) He/She is well prepared.

I agree completely | 100% 0% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

3.6) He/She inspires me for the topic.

I agree completely | 80% 20% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1.2
s=0.4

Tutorials

4.1) The exercises are understandable clearly.

I agree completely | 40% 20% 40% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=2
s=1

4.2) The degree of difficulty of the exercises is adequate.

I agree completely | 20% 40% 40% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=2.2
s=0.8

4.3) Lecture and tutorials harmonize.

I agree completely | 60% 40% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1.4
s=0.5

4.4) Questions can be resolved without difficulty

I agree completely | 40% 40% 20% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1.8
s=0.8

4.5) He/She imports knowledge well.

I agree completely | 100% 0% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

4.6) He/She is well prepared.

I agree completely | 100% 0% 0% 0% | I disagree completely

1 2 3 4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

4.7) He/She is sufficienty responsive to questions.

I agree completely   100%   0%   0%   0%   I disagree completely
                       1   2   3   4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

Organization

5.1) The criteria for obtaining a course certificate are transparent

I agree completely   100%   0%   0%   0%   I disagree completely
                       1   2   3   4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

5.2) The rooms are adequate.

I agree completely   100%   0%   0%   0%   I disagree completely
                       1   2   3   4

n=5
mw=1
s=0

5.3) Information on the course is available online.

I agree completely   20%   40%   20%   20%   I disagree completely
                       1   2   3   4

n=5
mw=2.4
s=1.1

5.4) The organization of lecture and tutorials is good.

I agree completely   80%   20%   0%   0%   I disagree completely
                       1   2   3   4

n=5
mw=1.2
s=0.4

Overall score

7.1) Your overall score for the course is:

very good   [████████████]   80%
good   [███]   20%

n=5
mw=1.2
s=0.4

Profillinie

		I agree completely					I disagree completely	
2.1)	The course follows a clear central theme (golden thread).	●						mw=1
3.1)	The lecture is structured well.	●						mw=1
3.2)	Lecture notes are helpfull.	●						mw=1.2
3.3)	The content is illustrative (examples)	●						mw=1
3.4)	He/She imports knowledge well.	●						mw=1
3.5)	He/She is well prepared.	●						mw=1
3.6)	He/She inspires me for the topic.	●						mw=1.2
4.1)	The exercises are understandable clearly.		●					mw=2
4.2)	The degree of difficulty of the exercises is adequate.		●					mw=2.2
4.3)	Lecture and tutorials harmonize.	●						mw=1.4
4.4)	Questions can be resolved without difficulty		●					mw=1.8
4.5)	He/She imports knowledge well.	●						mw=1
4.6)	He/She is well prepared.	●						mw=1
4.7)	He/She is sufficienty responsive to questions.	●						mw=1
5.1)	The criteria for obtaining a course certificate are transparent	●						mw=1
5.2)	The rooms are adequate.	●						mw=1
5.3)	Information on the course is available online.		●					mw=2.4
5.4)	The organization of lecture and tutorials is good.	●						mw=1.2

Auswertungsteil der offenen Fragen

2.6) In total, my workload for the course (lecture, tutorial, preparation, wrap-up) was about ... h/week.

~ 4-6 h

General evaluation

6.1) What did you like about the course? What should not be changed?

The organization of schedule.
The professor clarify some details of graphs and equations.

Lecturer, lecture notes, tutor and exercise problems

Prof Möller makes ~~each~~ lecture very interesting and with good examples
→ not as boring as other Profs
　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　↓
　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　understandable

— good lecture notes with examples

The course is very well structurized: Starting with basic components
leading to a special device.
I like the hardcopy's of the LN given in parts and in time
The structure of the tutorial — ;

6.2) What did you not like about the course? What should be changed?

More time for tutorial is needed.

little bit difficult that the course and the lecture notes are in
english, but it was okay
no results of the exercises to read after a few weeks a explanation

- maybe more time for the tutorial

I'd prefer a possibility to get the lecture notes also digitally as PDF
- would better fit into my personal workflow -
Maybe an additional fact sheet would be helpful, even if you find them
in the end of each chapter (dont remove them from there)
Time for tutorial: it's hard to present each of sh l . ,