

13. Commutative Banach Algebras

(13-1)

13.1. Def.: Let A be a Banach algebra.

A complex homomorphism (or character)

is a homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with

$\varphi \neq 0$, i.e.:

φ linear, $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \quad \forall x, y \in A$,

$\varphi \neq 0$

13.2. Proposition: Let A be a Banach algebra

and $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a complex homomorphism.

Then we have:

i) $\varphi(1) = 1$

ii) $\varphi(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in A$ with x invertible

iii) $\|\varphi\| = 1$, in particular $\varphi \in A^*$

Proof: i) Consider $y \in A$ with $\varphi(y) \neq 0$

(exists, since $\varphi \neq 0$)

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(y) = \varphi(y \cdot 1) = \varphi(y) \cdot \varphi(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \varphi(1)$$

ii) Let $x \in A$ be invertible

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(x) \varphi(x^{-1}) = \varphi(xx^{-1}) = \varphi(1) = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi(x) \neq 0$$

iii) Consider $x \in A$; to show: $|\varphi(x)| \leq \|x\|$

$$\text{Put } \lambda := \varphi(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(\lambda 1 - x) = \lambda \cdot 1 - \varphi(x) = 0$$

$$\stackrel{\text{ii)}}{=} \lambda 1 - x \text{ not invertible}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \lambda \in \sigma(x) \subset \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| \leq \|x\|\}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\varphi(x)| = |\lambda| \leq \|x\| \quad \Rightarrow \|\varphi\| \leq 1$$

$$\text{since } \varphi(1) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \|\varphi\| = 1 \quad \square$$

13.3. Remarks: 1) In general Banach algebras there might not be many complex homomorphisms, in particular, because of

$$\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(y)\varphi(x) = \varphi(yx)$$

they cannot see non-commutativity.

In commutative Banach algebras, however, the complex homomorphisms determine much of the structure.

2) The main information about complex homomorphisms is contained in their kernel. These are maximal ideals.

13.4. Def.: Let A be a Banach algebra.

1) An ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in A is a linear subspace of A with $\phi \neq \mathcal{I} \neq A$ s.t.

$$ax, xa \in \mathcal{I} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{I}, a \in A$$

2) A maximal ideal is an ideal which is not contained in a strictly larger ideal.

13.5. Proposition: Let A be a Banach algebra.

1) Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal in A . Then:

i) $\mathcal{I}$ does not contain invertible elements from A ;

ii) $\text{dist}(1, \mathcal{I}) = 1$;

iii) $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ is an ideal in A

iv) If $\mathcal{I}$ is maximal, then it is closed.

2) Each ideal is contained in a maximal ideal.

Proof: Let $x \in \mathcal{I}$ be invertible in A ,

$$\text{i.e. } x^{-1} \in A \Rightarrow 1 = x \cdot x^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow a = a \cdot 1 \in \mathcal{I} \quad \forall a \in A \Rightarrow A = \mathcal{I}$$

$$ii) x \in J \Rightarrow \|1-x\| \geq 1$$

(13-4)

because otherwise, by 12.6.,

$$x = 1 - \underbrace{(1-x)}_{\| \cdot \| < 1} \text{ would be invertible}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{dist}(1, J) \geq 1$$

$$0 \in J \Rightarrow \text{dist}(1, J) \leq \|1-0\| = \|1\| = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{dist}(1, J) = 1$$

$$iii) \text{dist}(1, J) = 1 \Rightarrow 1 \notin \bar{J}, \text{ i.e. } \bar{J} \neq A$$

$\bar{J}$ linear subspace: clear

$$\text{to show: } a \in A, x \in \bar{J} \Rightarrow ax, xa \in \bar{J}$$

$$x \in \bar{J} \Rightarrow \exists x_n \in J \text{ with } x_n \rightarrow x$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{ax_n}_{\in J} \rightarrow ax \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{since multiplication} \\ \text{is continuous} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow ax \in \bar{J}$$

same for xa

$$iv) \left. \begin{array}{l} J \subset \bar{J} \\ J \text{ maximal} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow J = \bar{J}$$

2) follows by Zorn's Lemma as follows

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be ideal in A

$$\text{Put } \mathcal{Z} := \left\{ I \subset A \mid \begin{array}{l} I \text{ ideal in } A \\ \mathcal{I} \subset I \end{array} \right\}$$

$\Rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \subset)$ is partially ordered:

Consider chain $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathcal{Z}$, then

$$I_{\mathcal{R}} := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{R}} I \quad \text{ideal in } A$$

$$\text{and } I \subset I_{\mathcal{R}} \quad \forall I \in \mathcal{R}$$

thus: $I_{\mathcal{R}}$ is upper bound for $\mathcal{R}$

$\xRightarrow{\text{Zorn's Lemma}} \exists \text{ maximal element } I_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$

$\Rightarrow I_0$ maximal ideal in A with $\mathcal{I} \subset I_0$ $\square$

13.6. Proposition: Let A be a Banach algebra and $\mathcal{I}$ a closed ideal in A . Then the quotient vector space

$$A/\mathcal{I} = \{a + \mathcal{I} \mid a \in A\}, \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{i.e. } a + \mathcal{I} = b + \mathcal{I} \\ \Leftrightarrow a - b \in \mathcal{I} \end{array} \right]$$

equipped with the operations

$$\lambda(a + \mathcal{I}) := \lambda a + \mathcal{I}$$

$$(a + \mathcal{I}) + (b + \mathcal{I}) := (a + b) + \mathcal{I}$$

$$(a + \mathcal{I}) \cdot (b + \mathcal{I}) := ab + \mathcal{I}$$

and the norm

(13-6)

$$\|a + \mathcal{I}\| := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{I}} \|a + x\| = \text{dist}(a, \mathcal{I})$$

becomes a Banach algebra and the quotient map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: A &\rightarrow A/\mathcal{I} \\ a &\mapsto a + \mathcal{I} \end{aligned}$$

is a homomorphism.

Proof: Exercise!

□

13.7. Theorem: Let A be a commutative Banach algebra and Σ the set of all complex homomorphisms of A . Then:

- i) Each maximal ideal of A is the kernel of a $\varphi \in \Sigma$
- ii) For $\varphi \in \Sigma$, the kernel of φ is a maximal ideal of A .
- iii) $a \in A$ invertible $\Leftrightarrow \varphi(a) \neq 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \Sigma$
- iv) — " — $\Leftrightarrow$ there is no ideal $\mathcal{I}$ in A with $a \in \mathcal{I}$
- v) $\lambda \in \sigma(a) \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a) = \lambda$ for some $\varphi \in \Sigma$
i.e. $\sigma(a) = \{\varphi(a) \mid \varphi \in \Sigma\}$

(vi) The correspondence $\phi \mapsto \ker \phi$ (13-7)
is one-to-one, i.e.,

$$\ker \phi_1 = \ker \phi_2 \iff \phi_1 = \phi_2$$

Proof: i) Let $\mathcal{I}$ be maximal ideal in A
 $\stackrel{13.5}{\implies} \mathcal{I}$ is closed

$\stackrel{13.6}{\implies} A/\mathcal{I}$ Banach algebra

we will show: $A/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}$; then

$\pi: A \rightarrow A/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}$ is wanted homomorphism

Consider $A/\mathcal{I} \ni \pi(a) \neq 0$, i.e. $a \in A$
 $a \notin \mathcal{I}$

$$\text{Put } \mathcal{I} := \{ba + x \mid b \in A, x \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

$\mathcal{I}$ linear

$$\mathcal{I}A, A\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}$$

$$\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I} \quad (b=0)$$

$$\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I} \quad (b=1, x=0 \implies a \in \mathcal{I})$$

$\mathcal{I}$ maximal
 $\implies$

$$\mathcal{I} = A$$

thus: $1 \in \mathcal{I}$, hence $\exists b \in A, x \in \mathcal{I}$:

$$ba + x = 1$$

$$\implies \pi(b)\pi(a) + \underbrace{\pi(x)}_{=0} = \pi(1) = 1$$

$\Rightarrow \pi(a)$ is invertible in $A/\mathcal{I}$

hence: every element $\neq 0$ in $A/\mathcal{I}$ is invertible
 Gelfand-Mazur
 12.10) $A/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}$

$\Rightarrow \pi: A \rightarrow A/\mathcal{I} \cong \mathbb{C}$

thus: $\pi \in \Sigma$ and $\ker \pi = \mathcal{I}$

ii) Consider $\varphi \in \Sigma \Rightarrow \mathcal{I} := \ker \varphi$ ideal

$$[\varphi(x)=0 \Rightarrow \varphi(ax)=\varphi(a)\varphi(x)=0 \quad \forall a \in A]$$

$$\varphi(1)=1 \Rightarrow 1 \notin \mathcal{I}$$

$\mathcal{I}$ maximal, since $\text{codim } \mathcal{I} = 1: A = \mathcal{I} \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$

$$(a \in A \Rightarrow a = \underbrace{(a - \varphi(a) \cdot 1)}_{\in \mathcal{I}} + \varphi(a) \cdot 1)$$

iii) Let $a \in A$ be invertible

$$\stackrel{13.2.}{\Rightarrow} \varphi(a) \neq 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \Sigma$$

Let $a \in A$ be not invertible

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{I} := \{ba \mid b \in A\}$ ideal

$$(as \ 1 \notin \mathcal{I})$$

$\stackrel{13.5.}{\Rightarrow} \exists$ maximal ideal $\mathcal{I}$ with $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}$

$\stackrel{i)}{\Rightarrow} \exists \varphi \in \Sigma: \mathcal{I} = \ker \varphi$

in particular $\varphi(a)=0$ (as $a \in \mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}$ for $b=1$)

iv) Let a be invertible

(13-9)

^{13.5}
 $\Rightarrow a$ is not contained in an ideal

Let a be not invertible

$\Rightarrow a \in \mathcal{I} := \{ba \mid b \in A\}$ ideal

v) $\lambda \in \sigma(a) \Leftrightarrow \lambda 1 - a$ not invertible

$\stackrel{(ii)}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists p \in \Sigma : p(\lambda 1 - a) = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{---} : \lambda = p(a)$

vi) Assume $\ker p_1 = \ker p_2$ and

consider $a \in A$, then

$a - p_1(a) \cdot 1 \in \ker p_1 = \ker p_2$

$\Rightarrow \underbrace{p_2(a - p_1(a) \cdot 1)}_{=0} = 0$

$p_2(a) - p_1(a) \cdot p_2(1) = p_2(a) - p_1(a)$

$\Rightarrow p_2(a) = p_1(a) \quad \forall a \in A$

$\Rightarrow p_2 = p_1$

□

13.8. Proposition: Let A be a commutative C^* -algebra. Then we have:

i) If $a \in A$ is selfadjoint (i.e., $a = a^*$),

then $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$

ii) If $u \in A$ is unitary (i.e., $uu^* = 1 = u^*u$),

then $\sigma(u) \subset T := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$

iii) $\varphi(a^*) = \overline{\varphi(a)} \quad \forall \varphi \in \Sigma, a \in A$

13.9. Remark: By 12.18, these statements are (as in 12.19) then also true for general C^* -algebras

Proof: i) by 13.7. (v) we have to show:

$$\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \varphi \in \Sigma$$

Consider a complex homomorphism

$\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and write

$$\varphi(a) = \alpha + i\beta \quad \text{with } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

to show: $\beta = 0$

Consider now, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $b := a + it1$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(b) = \varphi(a) + it\varphi(1) \\ = \alpha + i(\beta + t)$$

(13-11)

$$b^*b = (a - it1)(a + it1) \quad (\text{since } a^* = a) \\ = a^2 + t^2$$

$$\text{thus } |\varphi(b)|^2 \leq \|b\|^2 \quad (\text{since } \|\varphi\| = 1) \\ \uparrow \\ = \|b^*b\| \\ \leq \|a^2\| + t^2$$

$$|\alpha + i(\beta + t)|^2 = \alpha^2 + (\beta + t)^2 \\ = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta t + t^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta t \leq \|a^2\| \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(a) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall a \in \Sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{ii) to show: } \varphi(u) \in T \quad \forall u \in \Sigma$$

Exercise!

$$\text{iii) Put } x = \frac{a+a^*}{2}, y = \frac{a-a^*}{2i} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} x^* = x \\ y^* = y \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{i.e., } a = x + iy, a^* = x - iy$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(a^*) &= f(x - iy) \\ &= f(x) - i f(y) \\ &= \overline{f(x) + i f(y)} \\ &= \overline{f(x + iy)} \\ &= \overline{f(a)} \end{aligned}$$

since, by i),
 $f(x), f(y) \in \mathbb{R}$

□