

Proof: ① Extension to one dimension more:

6-2

Fix $x_0 \in V \setminus M$ and consider

$$V_0 := \text{span}(V, x_0)$$

$$= \{y + tx_0 \mid y \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

to show: $\exists L_0 : V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $L_0|_M = \ell$ and

$$L_0(x) \leq q(x) \quad \forall x \in V_0$$

note: representation $x = y + tx_0$ for $x \in V_0$ is unique,

$$\text{because } y + tx_0 = \tilde{y} + \tilde{t}x_0 \Rightarrow x_0 = \frac{\tilde{y} - y}{\tilde{t} - t} \in M$$

Thus we can define an L_0 by choosing $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and putting

$$L_0(y + tx_0) = \ell(y) + t L_0(x_0) = \ell(y) + t \cdot \alpha$$

Problem: Choose α such that $L_0(x) \leq q(x)$, i.e.

$$\text{i)} L_0(y + tx_0) \leq q(y + tx_0)$$

$$\text{ii)} L_0(y - tx_0) \leq q(y - tx_0)$$

$\forall y \in M, t \geq 0$

$$\text{(i)} \Leftrightarrow \ell(y) + t \alpha \leq q(y + tx_0)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{t} q(y + tx_0) - \ell(y)}_{q(y/t + x_0)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \leq q(y_1 + x_0) - \ell(y_1) \quad \forall y_1 \in M$$

$$(ii) \Leftrightarrow l(y) - t\alpha \leq q(y - tx_0) \quad (6-3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \geq l(y_t) - \underbrace{\frac{1}{t}q(y - tx_0)}_{q(y_t - x_0)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha \geq l(y_2) - q(y_2 - x_0) \quad \forall y_2 \in M$$

thus to show: $\exists \alpha$ s.t.

$$l(y_2) - q(y_2 - x_0) \leq \alpha \leq q(y_1 + x_0) - l(y_1) \quad \forall y_1, y_2 \in M$$

$$\text{i.e. : } l(y_1 + y_2) \leq q(y_1 + x_0) + q(y_2 - x_0) \quad \dots$$

But this follows from:

$$\begin{aligned} l(y_1 + y_2) &\leq q(y_1 + y_2) = q((y_1 + x_0) + (y_2 - x_0)) \\ &\leq q(y_1 + x_0) + q(y_2 - x_0) \end{aligned}$$

since q sublinear \checkmark

② "induction" from M to V (à la Zorn):

$\mathcal{F} := \{(V_0, L_0) \mid V_0 \text{ linear subspace of } V \text{ s.t.}$

$M \subseteq V_0, L_0: V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear

functional s.t. $L_0|_M = l,$

$L_0 \leq q \text{ on } V_0.$

}

For $(V_0, L_0), (V_1, L_1) \in \mathcal{F}$ we define

$$(V_0, L_0) \leq (V_1, L_1) \iff V_0 \subseteq V_1, L_1|_{V_0} = L_0$$

$\Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \leq)$ partially ordered set

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{(V_i, L_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a chain in \mathcal{F}

(i.e. every two elements can be compared)

$\Rightarrow \exists$ upper bound (N, L) of \mathcal{C} , namely

$$N = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i \quad (\text{linear, because } \mathcal{C} \text{ chain})$$

$$L(x) = L_i(x) \quad \text{if } x \in V_i$$

Zorn's Lemma $\Rightarrow \exists$ maximal element (Z, L) of \mathcal{C}

$\Rightarrow Z = V$, because otherwise step ① would give an extension

$\Rightarrow L: Z = V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is wanted extension \square

6.4. Theorem (Hahn-Banach, complex version):

Let V be a vector space over IF and $p: V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a seminorm. Let M be a linear subspace of V and $\ell: M \rightarrow \text{IF}$ a linear functional s.t.

$|\ell(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in M$. Then there is a linear functional $L: V \rightarrow \text{IF}$ s.t. $L|M = \ell$ and

$|L(x)| \leq p(x)$ for all $x \in V$.

Proof: ① $\text{IF} = \mathbb{R}$

$$\ell(x) \leq |\ell(x)| \leq p(x) \stackrel{6.3}{\Rightarrow} \exists L: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$L|M = \ell \quad \text{and}$$

$$L(x) \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in V$$

$$\text{but also: } -L(x) = L(-x) \leq p(-x) = p(x) \quad (\text{p seminorm})$$

$$\Rightarrow |L(x)| \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in V$$

② $\text{IF} = \emptyset$

Put $\ell_1 := \text{Re } \ell$, i.e. $\ell_1: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ \mathbb{R} -linear s.t.

$$|\ell_1(x)| \leq |\ell(x)| \leq p(x)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{case ①}}{\Rightarrow} \exists L_1: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbb{R}\text{-linear s.t.}$$

$$L_1|M = \ell_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |L_1(x)| \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in V$$

note: ℓ can be recovered from ℓ_1 by:

$$\ell_1(x) = \text{Re } \ell(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell_1(cx) = \text{Re } \ell(cx) = \text{Re } i\ell(ix) = -\text{Im } \ell(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ell(x) = \ell_1(x) - i\ell_1(cx)$$

Thus we define L by

$$L(x) := \ell_1(x) - i\ell_1(cx)$$

$\Rightarrow L$ \mathbb{R} -linear

$$\left. \begin{aligned} L(ix) &= L_1(ix) - iL_1(-x) \\ &= iL_1(x) + L_1(ix) \\ &= iL(x) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow L \text{ } \mathbb{C}\text{-linear}$$

$L|M = \ell$ clear by construction

to show: $|L(x)| \leq p(x) \quad \forall x \in V$

$x \in V \rightsquigarrow$ choose μ s.th. $|\mu|=1$ and $|L(x)| = \mu L(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} |L(x)| &= \mu L(x) = L(\mu x) \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} \operatorname{Re} L(\mu x) = L_1(\mu x) \\ &\stackrel{\text{since real}}{\leq} p(\mu x) \\ &= p(x) \end{aligned}$$

□

6.5 Corollary: Let X be a normed space, $M \subset X$ a linear subspace, and $\ell: M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ a bounded linear functional. Then there is an $L \in X^*$ s.th.

$L|M = \ell$ and $\|L\| = \|\ell\|$.

Proof: Use 6.4. with $p(x) = \|\ell\| \cdot \|x\|$

$$\begin{aligned} |\ell(x)| &\leq \underbrace{\|\ell\| \cdot \|x\|}_{p(x)} \Rightarrow |L(x)| \leq \|\ell\| \cdot \|x\| \\ &\Rightarrow \|L\| \leq \|\ell\| \end{aligned}$$

□

6.6. Corollary: Let X be a normed space and $x \in X$. (6-7)

Then

$$\|x\| = \sup_{L \in X^*} |L(x)|$$

$$\|L\|=1$$

Moreover, the supremum is attained, i.e. $\forall x \in X$
 $\exists L \in X^*$ s.th. $\|L\|=1$ and $L(x) = \|x\|$.

Proof. $\sup_{L \in X^*} |L(x)| \leq \|x\|$ clear, since $|L(x)| \leq \|L\| \cdot \|x\|$

"=": Let $M := \{\lambda x \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}\}$ one-dimensional
subspace of X

$\ell: M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ defined by $\ell(\lambda x) := \lambda \|x\|$

$$\Rightarrow \ell \in M^*, \|\ell\|=1$$

$\stackrel{6.5}{\Rightarrow} \exists L \in X^* \text{ s.th. } L|M = \ell \text{ and } \|L\| = \|\ell\| = 1$

∴

$$L(x) = \ell(x) = \|x\|$$

□

6.7. Remark: The "duality"

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \|L\| = \sup_{x \in X} |L(x)| & \longleftrightarrow & \|x\| = \sup_{L \in X^*} |L(x)| \\ & & \\ & & \|L\|=1 \\ & & \|x\|=1 \end{array}$$

suggests to write

$$L(x) = \langle L, x \rangle \quad \text{for } x \in X, L \in X^*$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ pairing between X and X^*

(note: X Hsp $\Rightarrow X \cong X^*$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \cong$ inner product)

Quite often one also writes x^* for elements from X^* .

Question: What is X^{**}

Hilbert spaces, reflexive Banach spaces: $X^{**} = X$
in general?

6.8 Remark: Consider $x \in X$, define \hat{x} by

$$\hat{x}(x^*) = x^*(x), \text{ then}$$

- \hat{x} linear

$$\begin{aligned} - \|\hat{x}\| &= \sup_{\substack{x^* \in X \\ \|x^*\|=1}} |\hat{x}(x^*)| = \sup_{\substack{x^* \in X \\ \|x^*\|=1}} |x^*(x)| \stackrel{6.6}{=} \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

thus: $\hat{x} \in X^{**}$

Hence: $X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is isometric embedding
 $x \mapsto \hat{x}$

6.9. Def: If the canonical isometric embedding

$$\hat{\cdot}: X \rightarrow X^{**} \quad \text{where } \hat{x}(x^*) = x^*(x) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*$$

$$x \mapsto \hat{x}$$

is a bijection then X is called reflexive.