

EXERCISES 6

Problem 1: Consider the Schrödinger representation of CCR in the form $[a, a^*] = 1$, with its vacuum vector Ω , i.e., $a\Omega = 0$. We make now, for some real $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, a so-called Bogoliubov transformation by putting

$$b := \lambda a - \mu a^*, \quad \text{and thus} \quad b^* = \lambda a^* - \mu a.$$

- (i) For which λ, μ does this also give a representation of the CCR, in the form $[b, b^*] = 1$.
- (ii) Since we believe that this new representation is equivalent to the Schrödinger representation, there should also be a vacuum vector ω for b , i.e., a vector ω in the Hilbert space such that $b\omega = 0$. Find such an ω !

Problem 2: One has the following

Proposition: Let $P : D(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $Q : D(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ both be selfadjoint and put

$$U_t := e^{itP} \quad \text{and} \quad V_t := e^{itQ}.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) We have for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ that $U_t D(Q) \subset D(Q)$ and that on $D(Q)$ the relation

$$U_t Q U_{-t} = Q + t1$$

holds.

- (b) We have for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$U_t V_s = e^{ist} V_s U_t.$$

We used property (b) to define the Weyl relations.

- (i) Show that property (a) is satisfied for the Schrödinger representation.
- (ii) Prove the proposition, i.e., show that (a) and (b) are equivalent in general.

Problem 3: Let (U_t, V_s) be a representation of the Weyl relations. We put, for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$W(s, t) := e^{-\frac{1}{2}ist} U_s V_t = e^{\frac{1}{2}ist} V_t U_s.$$

- (i) Show that in terms of the $W(s, t)$ the Weyl relations are equivalent to

$$W(s_1, t_1) W(s_2, t_2) = W(s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2) e^{\frac{1}{2}i(s_1 t_2 - s_2 t_1)}.$$

If we write this in terms of complex variables $z = s + it$, then it takes on the even nicer form

$$W(z_1) W(z_2) = W(z_1 + z_2) e^{\frac{1}{2}\Im(\bar{z}_1 z_2)}.$$

- (ii) Show that all $W(s, t)$ are unitary.